## The Trace Norm Inequality and its Consequences<sup>\*</sup>

Jason D. M. Rennie jrennie@gmail.com

March 1, 2006

## Abstract

We discuss the trace norm inequality and its consequences.

Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  be a matrix. Let us construct a partitioning of X into  $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$  and  $X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-k) \times m}$   $(0 \le k \le n)$  so that each row of X maps to one row of  $X_1$  or one row of  $X_2$  (e.g.  $X = [X_1; X_2]$ ). Let  $||X||_{\Sigma}$  be the trace norm of X (the sum of its singular values). Then,

$$\|X\|_{\Sigma} \le \|X_1\|_{\Sigma} + \|X_2\|_{\Sigma}.$$
 (1)

We call this the trace norm inequality. This is simple result of Corollary 3.4.3 of [1]. Corolary 3.4.3 states that if  $A, B \in M_{m,n}$ , then the sum of their first k singular values are no less than the sum of the first k singular values of A+B. Let A and B have respective ordered singular values  $\sigma_1(A) \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_q(A) \geq 0$  and  $\sigma_1(B) \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_q(B) \geq 0, q \equiv \min\{m,n\}$ ; let  $\sigma_1(A+B) \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_q(a+B) \geq 0$  be the ordered singular values of A+B. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(A+B) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_i(B), \quad k = 1, \dots, q.$$
(2)

This is slightly more powerful than we need since we only deal with the case k=q. Let A and B be  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  with zero padded rows,  $A = [X_1; 0], B = [0; X_2]$ . Let  $X_1 = U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T$  be a singular value decomposition for  $X_1$ . Note that  $A = [U_1; 0] \Sigma_1 V_1^T$ . I.e.  $||X_1||_{\Sigma} = ||A||_{\Sigma}$ . Similarly,  $||X_2||_{\Sigma} = ||B||_{\Sigma}$ . Since X = A + B, we have that  $||X||_{\Sigma} \leq ||X_1||_{\Sigma} + ||X_2||_{\Sigma}$ .

In earlier work, we have discussed a trace norm distribution [2],

$$P(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-\lambda \|X\|_{\Sigma}).$$
(3)

<sup>\*</sup>Joint work with John Barnett and Tommi Jaakkola.

We can use the trace norm inequality to establish a relation among normalization constants. Continuing from (1),

$$\exp(-\lambda \|X\|_{\Sigma}) \ge \exp(-\lambda \|X_1\|_{\Sigma}) \exp(-\lambda \|X_2\|_{\Sigma})$$
(4)

$$\int \exp(-\lambda \|X\|_{\Sigma}) dX \ge \int \exp(-\lambda \|X_1\|_{\Sigma}) dX_1 \int \exp(-\lambda \|X_2\|_{\Sigma}) dX_2 \quad (5)$$

$$\log Z \ge \log Z_1 + \log Z_2,\tag{6}$$

where Z,  $Z_1$ , and  $Z_2$  are the normalization constants correspondence to P(X),  $P(X_1)$ , and  $P(X_2)$ , respectively. The sum of log-normalization constants for  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is less than the log-normalization constant for X.

## References

- R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [2] J. D. M. Rennie. Learning structure with the trace norm distribution. http://people.csail.mit.edu/jrennie/writing, February 2006.