

# Solving $Mx = y$

Jason Rennie  
jrennie@csail.mit.edu

November 20, 2003

## Abstract

Consider the problem of solving for  $x$  in the matrix equation  $Mx = y$ . We consider the case where  $M$  is large enough that taking the inverse of  $M$  is impractical.  $Mx - y$  is nearly the gradient of a quadratic form function. We rewrite the problem as the minimization of a quadratic form function. The Conjugate Gradients algorithm can then be used to efficiently find a solution.

A quadratic form function,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Ax - b^T x + c, \quad (1)$$

is minimized by the solution to  $Ax = b$  if  $A$  is symmetric and positive-definite. Shewchuk's Conjugate Gradients tutorial [1] shows how to use this fact to solve for  $x$ .

Consider solving  $Mx = y$  when  $M$  is not symmetric and positive definite. There is no corresponding quadratic form function like there is for  $Ax = b$ . But,  $M^T M$  is symmetric and positive definite. And, the solution to  $M^T Mx = M^T y$  minimizes the function

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T M^T Mx - (M^T y)^T x + \frac{1}{2}y^T y = \frac{1}{2}(Mx - y)^T (Mx - y). \quad (2)$$

Clearly, if  $x$  minimizes  $g(x)$  and a solution to  $Mx = y$  exists, then  $x$  is it. If a solution does not exist, then the minimization of  $g(x)$  is arguably a reasonable way to select an  $x$ .

In conclusion, when  $M$  is not symmetric and positive definite, we cannot solve  $Mx = y$  directly as we can with  $Ax = b$ . However, we can use the framework for  $Ax = b$  to solve  $Mx = y$  by choosing  $A = M^T M$  and  $b = M^T y$ .

## References

- [1] Jonathan Richard Shewchuk. An introduction to the conjugate gradient method without the agonizing pain. <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~jrs/jrspapers.html>, 1994.